

# Released 8/31/2021

In this report, we compare the responses of your alumini who took the HEDS Alumni Survey during the 2020-2021 academic year to the alumi from responses of other institutions who participated in the survey in the last four academic years. We provide data for cohorts of alumni who took the survey 5 and 10 years after graduating.

Please note that the data does not appear in the order that questions were presented in the survey instrument. Instead, we have organized results according to the different topics that the survey addresses.

You can use the Table of Contents and accompanying section descriptions (see below) to navigate this report.

Click on the underlined names below to jump to the worksheet you would like to view:

#### 1. Demographics

Responses to demographic questions, your institution compared to other institutions.

#### 2. Postgraduate Activities

Responses to questions about postgraduate activities including primary activity, plans for continuing education, and information related to alumni's first and current paying jobs. Your institution compared to other institutions.

Tabs 3-7 include data on five dimensions of college experience. We provide mean scores for each indicator comparing alumni at your institution to alumni at other institutions. We also show how your alumni and alumni at other institutions responded to each question within the indicators.

3. Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

4. Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

5. Interactions with Diversity

6. Growth on Intellectual Outcomes

7. Growth on Civic Outcomes

#### 8. College Activities

Table providing information on how often your alumni participated in different college activities and the impact of those activities on their development.

#### 9. College Satisfaction

Results for alumni's responses on satisfaction, connection, and the level of impact that they associate with your institution, broken down by field of study and primary activity.

**10. Technical Information** 



Responses to Demographic Questions								
	Illinois \	Vesleyan			Illinois V	Nesleyan		
	University		All Other Alumni		mni Universi		All Othe	r Alumni
	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort		in 10-Yea	ar Cohort
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
What is your current personal status? (Choose one) (Q35)								
Living with partner	26	29%	1,781	24%	5	10%	656	12%
Married	26	29%	1,937	26%	29	59%	3,159	60%
Separated or divorced	0	0%	73	1%	0	0%	97	2%
Single	37	41%	3,412	46%	14	29%	1,297	25%
Widowed	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	6	0%
Other	2	2%	196	3%	1	2%	73	1%
Total	91	100%	7,403	100%	49	100%	5,288	100%
How many dependent children do you have? (Q36)								
None	90	99%	6,732	91%	35	71%	3,483	66%
1 or 2	1	1%	557	8%	12	25%	1,560	30%
More than 2	0	0%	94	1%	2	4%	225	4%
Total	91	100%	7,383	100%	49	100%	5,268	100%



Responses to Demographic Questions									
	Illinois	Wesleyan			Illinois	Nesleyan			
	Univ	/ersity	All Other	Other Alumni University		All Othe	r Alumni		
	5-Yea	r Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	ort 10-Year Cohort		in 10-Ye	ar Cohort	
What was the field of study of your undergraduate major(s)? (Check all that apply) (Q25)									
Biological Sciences (e.g., Biology, Biochemistry, Environmental Science, Neuroscience/Biopsychology)	10	11%	1,077	14%	3	6%	611	12%	
Business and Management (e.g., Accounting, Business Administration, Finance, Marketing)	20	22%	1,004	14%	3	6%	709	13%	
Communications (e.g., Journalism, Mass Communication, Speech, Speech Pathology)	1	1%	449	6%	0	0%	305	6%	
Education (e.g., Elementary Education, Secondary Education, Special Education)	8	9%	462	6%	6	12%	361	7%	
Engineering (e.g., Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering)	0	0%	175	2%	0	0%	150	3%	
Fine and Performing Arts (e.g., Architecture, Art, Dance, Music, Theatre)	14	16%	563	8%	8	16%	395	7%	
Health Sciences (e.g., Exercise Science, Nursing, Pharmacy, Public Health)	12	13%	470	6%	3	6%	228	4%	
Humanities (e.g., Classics, English, Modern Languages & Literature, Philosophy)	14	16%	1,414	19%	14	29%	1,053	20%	
Physical Sciences, Mathematics, and Computer Science (e.g., Astronomy, Chemistry, Earth Sciences, Physics)	9	10%	850	11%	2	4%	508	10%	
Social Sciences (e.g., Anthropology, Economics, Political Science, Psychology,	22	24%	2,300	31%	19	39%	1,692	32%	
Sociology)									
Other	4	4%	529	7%	3	6%	368	7%	
Number of Alumni Who Responded to This Question	90		7,428		49		5,281		



		Univ	Vesleyan ersity Cohort	All Other in 5-Yea	r Alumni r Cohort	Univ	Wesleyan versity ar Cohort		er Alumni ar Cohort
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Please indicate which of the fo	llowing describes your current PRIMARY activity: (	Q1)							
Employed, full-time		111	84%	7,242	75%	64	90%	5,652	83%
Employed, part-time		5	4%	223	2%	1	1%	267	4%
Employed, multiple jobs		5	4%	388	4%	1	1%	238	4%
Graduate or professional schoo	l, full-time	10	8%	1,329	14%	2	3%	238	4%
Graduate or professional schoo	l, part-time	0	0%	64	1%	0	0%	19	0%
Military service		0	0%	59	1%	2	3%	44	1%
Volunteer or national service (P	eace Corps, AmeriCorps, etc.)	0	0%	21	0%	0	0%	9	0%
	loyment, admission to graduate school, or other	2	2%	250	3%	0	0%	125	2%
opportunity									
	employment or admission to graduate school	0	0%	111	1%	1	1%	213	3%
(homemaker, traveling, volunte Tatal	er, retired, etc.)	122	100%	0.007	1000/	71	100%	C 905	1000/
Total		133	100%	9,687	100%	71	100%	6,805	100%
Please indicate your plans for e	ach of the following degrees or certificates: (Q2)								
Second Bachelor's Degree	Do not plan to pursue	113	95%	7,035	91%	63	96%	5,076	92%
	Degree received	4	3%	436	6%	1	2%	318	6%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	1	1%	60	1%	1	2%	22	0%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	1	1%	212	3%	1	2%	86	2%
	Total	119	100%	7,743	100%	66	100%	5,502	100%
Master's Degree in Arts and	Do not plan to pursue	75	62%	4,421	54%	35	52%	3,191	55%
Sciences (e.g., MA, MS, MFA)	Degree received	27	22%	1,697	21%	24	35%	1,831	32%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	3	3%	632	8%	6	9%	191	3%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	17	14%	1,421	17%	3	4%	580	10%
	Total	122	100%	8,171	100%	68	100%	5,793	100%
Master of Business	Do not plan to pursue	105	90%	5,932	77%	54	83%	4,406	81%
Administration (MBA)	Degree received	2	2%	278	4%	6	9%	360	7%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Currently enrolled or working toward	1	1%	219	3%	0	0%	74	1%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	9	8%	1,274	17%	5	8%	591	11%
	Total	117	100%	7,703	100%	65	100%	5,431	100%
Other Master's Degree (e.g.,	Do not plan to pursue	84	71%	6.157	80%	51	79%	4.391	80%
MSW, MSE, MSN, MAT, MPA)	Degree received	10	8%	583	8%	12	19%	696	13%
- , - , - , , , ,	Currently enrolled or working toward	11	9%	304	4%	0	0%	99	2%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	14	12%	699	9%	2	3%	291	5%
	Total	119	100%	7.743	100%	- 65	100%	5.477	100%
Law Degree (JD or LLB)	Do not plan to pursue	111	93%	6.922	92%	57	88%	4,913	91%
	Degree received	4	3%	219	3%	5	8%	327	6%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	- 0	0%	107	1%	0	0%	18	0%
		4	3%	296	1 <i>%</i> 4%		5%	10	2%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future Total	4 119	3 <i>%</i> 100%	7,544	4%	3 65	5% 100%	5,373	2%
	10101	112	100/0	1,344	100/0	05	100/0		100%



		Univ	Vesleyan versity r Cohort		r Alumni Ir Cohort	Univ	Wesleyan versity ar Cohort	All Othe	
Medical Degree (e.g., MD, DO,	Do not plan to pursue	110	94%	6,981	92%	63	97%	5,003	93%
DDS. DVM. PharmD)	Degree received	3	3%	250	3%		3%	288	5%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	3	3%	230	3%	2 0	0%	26	1%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	1		107	3 <i>%</i> 1%	0	0%	39	1%
	Total		100%		100%	•••••••		5,356	100%
PhD	Do not plan to pursue	117 99	84%	7,568 5,942	78%	65 54	100% 82%	4,314	79%
FIID		0	0%	83	1%	3	5%	4,314	8%
	Degree received							<b> </b>	8% 3%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	4	3%	531		1	2%	134 564	
	Degree you hope to attain in the future Total	15	13%	1,105	14%	8	12%	******	10%
Other Destand Desnes (s. a. EdD		118	100%	7,661	100%	66	100%	5,434	100%
Other Doctoral Degree (e.g., EdD,		107	91%	6,779	91%	58	89%	4,819	91%
PsyD, DBA)	Degree received	1	1%	87	1%	1	2%	76	1%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	0	0%	73	1%	0	0%	45	1%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	10	9%	508	7%	6	9%	344	7%
	Total	118	100%	7,447	100%	65	100%	5,284	100%
Other Certificate	Do not plan to pursue	79	88%	4,219	82%	33	73%	2,988	81%
	Degree received	6	7%	367	7%	7	16%	386	11%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	3	3%	240	5%	4	9%	124	3%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	2	2%	332	6%	1	2%	175	5%
	Total	90	100%	5,158	100%	45	100%	3,673	100%
Other Degree	Do not plan to pursue	82	98%	4,500	95%	39	95%	3,176	95%
	Degree received	0	0%	74	2%	0	0%	95	3%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	0	0%	60	1%	1	2%	31	1%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	2	2%	89	2%	1	2%	60	2%
	Total	0.4							1000/
	TOTAL	84	100%	4,723	100%	41	100%	3,362	100%
About how often do you current	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	-		,	100%	41	100%	3,362	100%
About how often do you current		-		,	22%	41 5	100%	1,135	21%
-		ork for orga	nizations?	(Q24)				1	
Less than once a year		ork for organ	nizations? 28%	<b>(Q24)</b> 1,638	22%	5 11 22	10%	1,135	21%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year		ork for organ 25 35	nizations? 28% 39%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022	22% 27%	5 11	10% 23%	1,135 1,485	21% 28%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly		25 35 19 8	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089	22% 27% 24% 15%	5 11 22 8	10% 23% 46% 17%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766	21% 28% 25% 14%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year		25 35 19	nizations? 28% 39% 21%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810	22% 27% 24%	5 11 22	10% 23% 46%	1,135 1,485 1,324	21% 28% 25%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly <b>Total</b>	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25 35 19 8 3 90	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868	22% 27% 24% 15% 12%	5 11 22 8 2	10% 23% 46% 17% 4%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597	21% 28% 25% 14% 11%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l		25 35 19 8 3 90	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100%	5 11 22 8 2 48	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25 35 19 8 3 90 218)	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you 1 0	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25 35 19 8 3 90 218) 1 21	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465	21% 28% 25% 14% 10% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly <b>Total</b> How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25 35 19 8 3 90 218) 1 21 28	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 13	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 2% 27%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886	21% 28% 25% 14% 10% 0% 10% 18%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly <b>Total</b> How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25           35           19           8           3           90           21           28           24	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 13 7	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 2% 27% 14%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153	21% 28% 25% 14% 10% 0% 0% 10% 18% 24%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly <b>Total</b> How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25           35           19           8           3           90           21           28           24           7	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 13 7 15	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 10% 18% 24% 19%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25           35           19           8           3           90           21           28           24           7           11	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13% 13%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 13 7 15 13	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448	21% 28% 25% 14% 10% 0% 0% 10% 18% 24% 19% 30%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you   0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25           35           19           8           3           90           21           28           24           7	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 13 7 15	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 10% 18% 24% 19%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo	25           35           19           8           3           90           21           28           24           7           11	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13% 13%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 13 7 15 13	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448	21% 28% 25% 14% 10% 0% 0% 10% 18% 24% 19% 30%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	had since you graduated from this institution? (C	25           35           19           8           3           90           21           28           24           7           11	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo had since you graduated from this institution? (0 pb? (Q22)	25           35           19           8           3           90           21           28           24           7           11           92	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo had since you graduated from this institution? (C bb? (Q22) Architect	Image: system of the	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0% 0% 0% 1%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7 15 7	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100% 0% 0%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100% 0% 0% 0%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885 9 9 1,9 9 19 9	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo had since you graduated from this institution? (c ob? (Q22) Architect Artist	Image: system of the	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0% 0%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7 15 7 8	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100% 0% 0%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49 0 0 0 0 0 0	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100% 0% 0%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885 9 9 19 9	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo had since you graduated from this institution? (c pb? (Q22) Architect Artist Entertainer	Image: system of the	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0% 0% 0% 1%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7 15 7	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100% 0% 0%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49 0 0 0 0	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100% 0% 0% 0%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885 9 9 1,9 9 19 9	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	Ily engage in community service or volunteer work         had since you graduated from this institution? (compared to the service)         bb? (Q22)         Architect         Artist         Entertainer         Gallery worker	Image: system of the	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0% 0% 0%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7 15 7 8	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49 0 0 0 0 0 0	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885 9 9 1,448 4,885	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	ly engage in community service or volunteer wo had since you graduated from this institution? (c bb? (Q22) Architect Artist Entertainer Gallery worker Graphic designer	Image: system         Image: system           25         35           19         8           3         90           21         21           28         24           7         11           92         0           0         0           1         1	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0% 0% 1% 0% 1%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7 15 7 8 38	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885 9 9 1,448 4,885	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	ly engage in community service or volunteer works of the service of	Image: system         Image: system           25         35           19         8           3         90           21         21           28         24           7         11           92         0           0         0           1         0           1         1	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7 15 7 8 38 4	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 1% 20% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 13 7 15 13 49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885 9 9 1,448 4,885 9 9 19 9 2 16 4	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 10% 10% 10% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	Architect Artist Entertainer Gallery worker Graphic designer Interior designer Museum curator	Image: system of the	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7 15 7 8 38 4 7	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 29% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 0% 2% 27% 27% 14% 31% 27% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885 9 9 19 9 19 9 2 16 4 7	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Less than once a year Once or twice a year Several times a year Monthly Weekly Total How many paying jobs have you l 0 1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary jo	Architect Artist Entertainer Gallery worker Graphic designer Interior designer Museum curator Music/film industry	Image: system of the	nizations? 28% 39% 21% 9% 3% 100% 1% 23% 30% 26% 8% 12% 100% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 2%	(Q24) 1,638 2,022 1,810 1,089 868 7,427 31 1,312 1,929 1,700 834 879 6,685 7 15 7 8 38 4 7 39	22% 27% 24% 15% 12% 100% 29% 29% 25% 13% 13% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0%	5 11 22 8 2 48 0 1 1 3 7 15 13 49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10% 23% 46% 17% 4% 100% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	1,135 1,485 1,324 766 597 5,307 8 465 886 1,153 925 1,448 4,885 9 9 19 9 19 9 2 16 4 7 25	21% 28% 25% 14% 11% 100% 0% 0% 10% 10% 10% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%



#### **Responses to Questions on Postgraduate Activities** Illinois Wesleyan Illinois Wesleyan All Other Alumni All Other Alumni University University 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort in 10-Year Cohort Communications and Media Broadcasting 0% 0% 0 0% 11 0% 0 2 0 0% Editor 1 1% 33 1% 23 1% 0 0% 0% 17 0% Journalist 16 1 2% Media production 0 0% 24 0% 0 0% 12 0% 29 7 Public relations 0 0% 42 1% 0 0% 1% 0 Publisher 0% 7 0% 0 0% 0% Writer 0 1 31 0% 32 1% 2% 1% Other Communications and Media 1 1% 115 2% 0 0% 70 2% Total 2 2% 280 5% 2 4% 191 4% Community and Social Service 0 0% 23 0% 2% 27 1% Clergy 1 0 0% Community organizer 12 0% 0 0% 3 0% Philanthropy or nonprofit worker 0 0% 178 3% 1 2% 109 2% Social activist 0 0% 5 0% 0% 0 0% 0 Social work 163 3% 1 2% 93 2% 1 1% 0 Other Community and Social Service 2% 41 0% 65 1% 1% 1 1 4 Total 1% 446 7% 8% 273 6% Education and Library Librarian or archivist 0 0% 59 1% 4% 1% 2 50 0 0 Preschool/elementary/middle school/high 0% 27 0% 0% 55 1% school/secondary administration Preschool/elementary/middle school/high 7 13% 8% 8% 472 8% 6 357 school/secondary teacher Postsecondary administration/staff 0 0% 158 3% 0 0% 148 3% 1 2 Postsecondary teacher or researcher 1% 95 4% 163 2% 4% 35 School counselor 1% 2% 1% 2% 1% 1 25 1 2 104 Other Education and Library 142 2% 0 0% 2% Total 11 13% 988 16% 11 23% 902 20%



		Illinois V	Vesleyan			Illinois \	Nesleyan		
		Univ	ersity	All Other	r Alumni	Univ	ersity	All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Yea	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	ar Cohort	in 10-Ye	ar Cohort
Health Care	Clinical psychology/psychiatry	0	0%	43	1%	2	4%	41	1%
	Dentist	0	0%	17	0%	0	0%	11	0%
	Dietician	0	0%	11	0%	0	0%	2	0%
	Nurse	15	18%	199	3%	3	6%	107	2%
	Optometrist	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	6	0%
	Pharmacist	0	0%	12	0%	0	0%	13	0%
	Physical/occupational/speech therapy	3	4%	130	2%	0	0%	59	1%
	Physician	2	2%	104	2%	0	0%	140	3%
	Veterinarian	0	0%	15	0%	1	2%	18	0%
	Other Health Care	2	2%	192	3%	1	2%	115	3%
	Total	22	26%	725	12%	7	15%	512	11%
Law and Government	Diplomat	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	2	0%
	Foreign service	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	3	0%
	Government worker	1	1%	100	2%	0	0%	95	2%
	International relations	0	0%	14	0%	0	0%	8	0%
	Judge	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Lawyer	3	4%	130	2%	4	8%	208	5%
	Other legal services	2	2%	42	1%	0	0%	16	0%
	Politics	1	1%	33	1%	1	2%	11	0%
	Public policy	- 0	0%	36	-/3	- 1	2%	38	1%
	Other Law and Government	0	0%	41	1%	- 1	2%	33	1%
	Total	7	8%	404	7%	7	15%	414	<u> </u>
Management, Business, and	Accounting	3	4%	117	2%	0	0%	82	2%
Financial	Actuary	1	1%	3	0%	0	0%	8	0%
	Advertising	2	2%	63	1%	0	0%	35	1%
	Executive	1	1%	28	0%	0	0%	37	1%
	Finance	2	2%	240	4%	2	4%	152	3%
	Human resources	1	_// 1%	77	1%	0	0%	65	1%
	Insurance	- 4	_/~ 5%	51	1%	1	2%	53	1%
	Management	2	2%	163	3%	- 1	2%	160	3%
	Real estate		0%	49	1%	- 0	0%	28	1%
	Recruiting	0	0%	35	1%	0	0%	20	0%
	Retail services	1	1%	40	1%	0	0%	18	0%
	Sales	1	1%	128	2%	1	2%	75	2%
	Other Management, Business, and Financial	- 4	- <i>1%</i> 5%	250	4%	1	2%	207	- <i>- / - 5</i> %
	Total	22	26%	1,244	20%	6	13%	940	20%
Natural Resources	Agricultural worker	0	0%	9	0%	0	0%	7	0%
Natural Resources	Conservationist	0	0%	9	0%	0	0%	6	0%
	Environmental scientist	0	0%	9 16	0%	0	0%	10	0%
	Other Natural Resources		0% 1%	10	0%	••••••	•••••		0%
	Total	1	1% 1%	46	~~~~~~	0 0	0% 0%	13 36	0% 1%
Protection Services		1	<u> </u>	21	1% 0%	0	0%	22	1%
FIGLECTION SELVICES	Law enforcement officer			••••••••••••••••••		•••••		••••••••	
	Military occupations	0	0%	32	1%	0	0%	21	0%
	Other Protection Services	0	0%	9	0%	0	0%	7	0%



		Illinois \	Vesleyan			Illinois \	Nesleyan		
			ersity	All Other	Alumni		/ersity	All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Yea	r Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	ar Cohort	in 10-Yea	ar Cohort
Science, Technology, and	Computer programmer/analyst	3	4%	219	4%	2	4%	129	3%
Engineering	Engineer	0	0%	133	2%	0	0%	112	2%
	Information systems	0	0%	40	1%	1	2%	50	1%
	Lab technician	1	1%	35	1%	0	0%	7	0%
	Scientific researcher	1	1%	197	3%	1	2%	150	3%
	Other Science, Technology, and Engineering	1	1%	126	2%	1	2%	127	3%
	Total	6	7%	750	12%	5	10%	575	13%
Service and Recreational	Chef	0	0%	5	0%	0	0%	3	0%
	Food service industry	0	0%	32	1%	0	0%	13	0%
	Hospitality	0	0%	26	0%	0	0%	13	0%
	Sports and recreation	1	1%	35	1%	0	0%	16	0%
	Travel/tourism	0	0%	12	0%	0	0%	10	0%
	Other Service and Recreational	0	0%	16	0%	0	0%	6	0%
	Total	1	1%	126	2%	0	0%	61	1%
Other		7	8%	813	13%	5	10%	502	11%
Total		84		6,076		48		4,587	
(Check all that apply) <i>(Q23)</i>	of the following descriptions applies to your CURR								
Relates to my undergraduate r		60	72%	3,845	64%	28	58%	2,741	60%
Requires me to use skills I gain	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	69	83%	4,626	76%	32	67%	3,492	77%
Is related to my desired career	path	68	82%	4,704	78%	37 42	77%	3,671	80%
Is work I find meaningful		61	73%	4,736	78%		88%	3,797	83%
Allows me to continue to grow		76	92%	5,246	87%	41	85%	4,002	88%
Pays enough to support my de		66	80%	4,432	73%	40	83%	3,593	79%
Pays health insurance benefits		74	89%	5 <i>,</i> 056	84%	42	88%	3,890	85%
Has opportunity for upward m	***************************************	62	75%	4,169	69%	32	67%	3,201	70%
Is likely to continue until I wis	h to leave	74	89%	5,168	85%	45	94%	4,025	88%
Is in a desirable location		65	78%	4,693	78%	40	83%	3,652	80%
Overall, is a satisfying job		72	87%	4,900	81%	41	85%	3,893	85%
Number of Alumni Who Resp	onded to This Question	83		6 <i>,</i> 050		48		4,563	
	your first paying job after graduating from this instit	ution? Cal	culated by	/ HEDS bas	ed on res	_	Q19 and	Q20	
While enrolled as an undergra		34	37%	2,575	38%	12	25%	1,581	32%
During the first six months aft	····Ž	44	48%	2,757	40%	28	57%	2,190	45%
During the second six months		6	7%	487	7%	3	6%	359	7%
More than a year after graduat	ion.	6	7%	800	12%	6	12%	745	15%
I have not yet sought a paying	job.	1	1%	199	3%	0	0%	23	1%
I have sought a paying job, but	I have not vet found one.	0	0%	36	1%	0	0%	9	0%



	Illinois V	Nesleyan			Illinois V	Vesleyan		
	Univ	ersity	All Other	r Alumni	Univ	ersity	All Other	r Alumni
	5-Year	r Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	ar Cohort
Please indicate whether each of the following descriptions applied to	your first paying job a	after you g	raduated f	rom this i	nstitutior	ı.		
(Check all that apply) (Q21)								
Was related to my undergraduate major	61	69%	3,017	54%	20	44%	2,309	53%
Required me to use skills I gained as an undergraduate	59	67%	3,467	62%	24	53%	2,633	60%
Was related to my desired career path	65	74%	3,431	62%	26	58%	2,597	60%
Was work I found meaningful	61	69%	3,275	59%	23	51%	2,460	56%
Allowed me to continue to grow and learn	67	76%	3,957	71%	26	58%	2,898	66%
Paid enough to support my desired lifestyle	49	56%	2,740	49%	25	56%	2,057	47%
Paid health insurance benefits	52	59%	3,106	56%	26	58%	2,621	60%
Had opportunity for upward mobility	44	50%	2,292	41%	18	40%	1,691	39%
Continued until I wished to leave	55	63%	3,723	67%	28	62%	2,872	66%
Was in a desirable location	50	57%	3,499	63%	22	49%	2,690	62%
Overall, was a satisfying job	62	70%	3,177	57%	22	49%	2,399	55%
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				~~~~~		~~~~~	******	~~~~~
Number of Alumni Who Responded to This Question Which of the following most accurately describes your current persor	88 al annual income befo	ore taxes?	5,570		45		4,362	
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person Please report your personal income, not your total household income	aal annual income befo e. <i>(Q34)</i>			E 9/		2%		20/
Which of the following most accurately describes your current persor Please report your personal income, not your total household income No earned income	nal annual income before. <i>(Q34)</i>	3%	328	5%	1	2%	153	3%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current persor Please report your personal income, not your total household income No earned income Less than \$20,000	aal annual income befo e. (Q34) 3 4	3% 4%	328 471	7%	1	4%	153 205	4%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current persor Please report your personal income, not your total household income No earned income Less than \$20,000 \$20,000-\$39,999	aal annual income befo e. (Q34) 3 4 15	3% 4% 17%	328 471 1,223	7% 18%	1 2 3	4% 6%	153 205 447	4% 9%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person Please report your personal income, not your total household income No earned income Less than \$20,000 \$20,000-\$39,999 \$40,000-\$59,999	aal annual income befo e. (Q34) 3 4 15 24	3% 4% 17% 26%	328 471 1,223 1,895	7% 18% 28%	1 2 3 9	4% 6% 19%	153 205 447 1,004	4% 9% 21%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person Please report your personal income, not your total household income No earned income Less than \$20,000 \$20,000-\$39,999 \$40,000-\$59,999 \$60,000-\$79,999	aal annual income before. (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21	3% 4% 17% 26% 23%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233	7% 18% 28% 18%	1 2 3 9 17	4% 6% 19% 35%	153 205 447 1,004 983	4% 9% 21% 20%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person Please report your personal income, not your total household income No earned income Less than \$20,000 \$20,000-\$39,999 \$40,000-\$59,999 \$60,000-\$79,999 \$80,000-\$99,999	al annual income before. (Q34) (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616	7% 18% 28% 18% 9%	1 2 3 9 17 5	4% 6% 19% 35% 10%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627	4% 9% 21% 20% 13%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person Please report your personal income, not your total household income Less than \$20,000 \$20,000-\$39,999 \$40,000-\$59,999 \$60,000-\$79,999 \$80,000-\$99,999 \$100,000-\$119,999	aal annual income before. (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13 4	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person Please report your personal income, not your total household income Less than \$20,000 \$20,000-\$39,999 \$40,000-\$59,999 \$60,000-\$79,999 \$80,000-\$99,999 \$100,000-\$119,999 \$120,000-\$139,999	aal annual income before. (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13 4 3	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4% 3%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342 168	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5% 3%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2 3	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4% 6%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412 264	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9% 6%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person           Please report your personal income, not your total household income           Less than \$20,000           \$20,000-\$39,999           \$40,000-\$59,999           \$60,000-\$79,999           \$80,000-\$99,999           \$100,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$120,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$139,999	al annual income before. (Q34) (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13 4 3 1 1	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4% 3% 1%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342 168 82	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5% 3% 1%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2 3 1	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4% 6% 2%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412 264 179	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9% 6% 4%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person           Please report your personal income, not your total household income           Less than \$20,000           \$20,000-\$39,999           \$40,000-\$59,999           \$60,000-\$79,999           \$80,000-\$99,999           \$100,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$140,000-\$159,999	al annual income before. (Q34) (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13 4 3 1 2 2 13 4 2 2 13 4 2 2 2 13 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4% 3% 1% 2%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342 168 82 55	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5% 3% 1% 1%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2 3 1 3	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4% 6% 2% 6%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412 264 179 81	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9% 6% 4% 2%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person           Please report your personal income, not your total household income           No earned income           Less than \$20,000           \$20,000-\$39,999           \$40,000-\$59,999           \$60,000-\$79,999           \$20,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$159,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$140,000-\$19,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$180,000-\$179,999	al annual income before. (Q34) (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13 4 3 1 2 0	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4% 3% 1% 2% 0%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342 168 82 55 32	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5% 3% 1% 1% 1%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2 3 1 3 2	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4% 6% 2% 6% 4%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412 264 179 81 75	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9% 6% 4% 2% 2%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person           Please report your personal income, not your total household income           Less than \$20,000           \$20,000-\$39,999           \$40,000-\$59,999           \$60,000-\$79,999           \$80,000-\$99,999           \$100,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$20,000-\$19,999           \$20,000-\$19,999           \$20,000-\$19,999           \$20,000-\$19,999           \$200,000-\$199,999	al annual income before. (Q34)	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4% 3% 1% 2% 0% 0%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342 168 82 55 32 23	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5% 3% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2 3 1 3 2 0	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4% 6% 2% 6% 6% 4% 0%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412 264 179 81 75 57	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9% 6% 4% 2% 2% 1%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person           Please report your personal income, not your total household income           No earned income           Less than \$20,000           \$20,000-\$39,999           \$40,000-\$59,999           \$60,000-\$79,999           \$20,000-\$119,999           \$100,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$200,000-\$119,999           \$200,000-\$119,999           \$200,000-\$119,999           \$200,000-\$119,999           \$200,000-\$119,999           \$200,000-\$119,999           \$200,000-\$219,999           \$200,000-\$219,999           \$200,000-\$219,999	al annual income before. (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13 4 3 1 2 0 0 0 0	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4% 3% 1% 2% 0% 0% 0%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342 168 82 55 32 23 12	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5% 3% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2 3 1 3 2 0 0 0	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4% 6% 2% 6% 6% 4% 0%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412 264 179 81 75 57 33	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9% 6% 4% 2% 2% 2% 1%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current person           Please report your personal income, not your total household income           Less than \$20,000           \$20,000-\$39,999           \$40,000-\$59,999           \$60,000-\$79,999           \$80,000-\$79,999           \$100,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$140,000-\$159,999           \$160,000-\$179,999           \$200,000-\$199,999           \$200,000-\$199,999           \$200,000-\$199,999           \$200,000-\$199,999           \$200,000-\$219,999           \$200,000-\$219,999           \$200,000-\$219,000           More than \$240,000	al annual income before: (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13 4 3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4% 3% 1% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342 168 82 55 32 23 22 23 12 43	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5% 3% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 1%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2 3 1 3 1 3 2 0 0 0 0	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4% 6% 2% 6% 6% 4% 0% 0%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412 264 179 81 75 57 33 143	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9% 6% 4% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 3%
Which of the following most accurately describes your current persor           Please report your personal income, not your total household income           Less than \$20,000           \$20,000-\$39,999           \$40,000-\$59,999           \$60,000-\$79,999           \$80,000-\$99,999           \$100,000-\$119,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$120,000-\$139,999           \$210,000-\$159,999           \$220,000-\$19,999           \$20,000-\$19,999           \$200,000-\$19,999           \$200,000-\$19,999           \$200,000-\$219,999           \$200,000-\$219,999           \$200,000-\$219,999	al annual income before. (Q34) 3 4 15 24 21 13 4 3 1 2 0 0 0 0	3% 4% 17% 26% 23% 14% 4% 3% 1% 2% 0% 0% 0%	328 471 1,223 1,895 1,233 616 342 168 82 55 32 23 12	7% 18% 28% 18% 9% 5% 3% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0%	1 2 3 9 17 5 2 3 1 3 2 0 0 0	4% 6% 19% 35% 10% 4% 6% 2% 6% 6% 4% 0%	153 205 447 1,004 983 627 412 264 179 81 75 57 33	4% 9% 21% 20% 13% 9% 6% 4% 2% 2% 2% 1%



Responses to Questions on Postgraduate Activities								
Responses to Questions on rostgraduate Activities	Illinois V	Vesleyan			Illinois	Nesleyan	1	
	Univ	ersity	All Othe	r Alumni	Univ	/ersity	All Othe	r Alumni
	5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort		in 10-Ye	ar Cohort
At the time you graduated, what was the total amount that you and/or your family	borrowe	d to finan	ce					
your undergraduate education at this institution? (Q26)								
No loans	26	29%	2,340	35%	12	25%	1,583	33%
Less than \$5,000	0	0%	119	2%	0	0%	83	2%
\$5,000–\$9,999	0	0%	184	3%	1	2%	160	3%
\$10,000–\$14,999	4	4%	243	4%	0	0%	217	5%
\$15,000–\$19,999	4	4%	301	4%	2	4%	263	5%
\$20,000–\$29,999	10	11%	744	11%	3	6%	438	9%
\$30,000–\$39,999	6	7%	611	9%	4	8%	328	7%
\$40,000–\$49,999	8	9%	361	5%	4	8%	284	6%
\$50,000–\$59,999	3	3%	364	5%	4	8%	259	5%
\$60,000–\$69,999	8	9%	285	4%	3	6%	165	3%
\$70,000–\$79,999	4	4%	148	2%	2	4%	139	3%
\$80,000–\$89,999	1	1%	157	2%	4	8%	124	3%
\$90,000–\$99,999	5	6%	88	1%	1	2%	58	1%
\$100,000 or more	7	8%	335	5%	2	4%	200	4%
Borrowed money, but don't know the amount	4	4%	504	7%	7	14%	540	11%
Total	90	100%	6,784	100%	49	100%	4,841	100%
Approximately what proportion of your total loan amount are/were you personal	lyrespons	ible for pa	aying? <mark>(Q2</mark>	7)			-	
Unsure	1	2%	117	2%	0	0%	91	2%
None	4	6%	1,034	20%	2	5%	736	20%
Some, but less than half	6	9%	385	7%	4	11%	358	10%
About half	6	9%	418	8%	0	0%	330	9%
Most	15	23%	610	12%	9	24%	471	13%
All	32	50%	2,604	50%	22	60%	1,745	47%
Total	64	100%	5,168	100%	37	100%	3,731	100%



# Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

## Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty											
	Illinois Wesleyan University 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Illinois Wesleyan University 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort							
Mean	4.24 ≈	4.24	4.14 ≈	4.20							
Standard Deviation	0.66	0.67	0.70	0.67							
75th Percentile	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78							
Median	4.44	4.33	4.28	4.33							
25th Percentile	3.78	3.89	3.56	3.78							
Total Responses	111	8,259	64	5,841							

The symbols in Table 2 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your institution's alumni and

the overall mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when  $n \ge 10$ . For more information on how we calculate effect sizes, please see the Technical Information tab.

**11** Large positive difference **1** Medium positive difference **1** Small positive difference  $\approx$  No difference **1** Small negative difference **1** Medium negative difference **1** Large negative difference

		Illinois \	Nesleyan			Illinois V	Vesleyan		
		Univ	University 5-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		ersity	All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Yea					10-Year Cohort		ar Cohort
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Below are statements about your vie	ws of your undergraduate faculty's int	erest in teac	hing and s	tudents.					
Please indicate the extent to which	you agree or disagree with each.								
Most faculty with whom I had contac	t at this institution were (Q3)								
Genuinely interested in students.	Strongly disagree	0	0%	30	0%	0	0%	15	0%
	Disagree	3	3%	90	1%	2	3%	57	1%
	Neither agree nor disagree	5	4%	273	3%	6	9%	201	3%
	Agree	49	42%	2,981	35%	27	40%	2,060	34%
	Strongly agree	61	52%	5.267	61%	33	49%	3.766	62%
	Strongly agree	01	JZ /0	5,207	0170	33	+370	3,700	0270



## Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

## Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good	Teaching and High-Quality Interact	ions with	Faculty						
	1		Illinois Wesleyan University 5-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		Vesleyan versity ar Cohort		r Alumni ar Cohort
Interested in helping students grow in	Strongly disagree	1	1%	62	1%	1	2%	38	1%
more than just academic areas.	Disagree	5	4%	311	4%	3	5%	208	3%
	Neither agree nor disagree	13	11%	1,000	12%	20	30%	774	13%
	Agree	55	47%	3,504	41%	14	21%	2,504	41%
	Strongly agree	44	37%	3,753	44%	29	43%	2,566	42%
	Total	118	100%	8,630	100%	67	100%	6,090	100%
Good at providing prompt and useful	Strongly disagree	0	0%	52	1%	0	0%	22	0%
feedback.	Disagree	5	4%	162	2%	4	6%	111	2%
	Neither agree nor disagree	8	7%	777	9%	8	12%	491	8%
	Agree	71	60%	4,097	48%	36	54%	2,911	48%
	Strongly agree	34	29%	3,542	41%	19	28%	2,551	42%
	Total	118	100%	8,630	100%	67	100%	6,086	100%



# Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

			Wesleyan versity	All Othe	r Alumni		Wesleyan versity	All Othe	r Alumni
			r Cohort		r Cohort		ar Cohort	in 10-Ye	
Willing to spend time outside of class to	Strongly disagree	1	1%	54	1%	0	0%	25	0%
discuss issues of interest and	Disagree	6	5%	170	2%	1	2%	124	2%
importance to students.	Neither agree nor disagree	7	6%	796	9%	9	13%	636	11%
	Agree	49	42%	3,146	37%	24	36%	2,294	38%
	Strongly agree	55	47%	4,453	52%	33	49%	3,001	49%
	Total	118	100%	8,619	100%	67	100%	6,080	100%
Below are statements about your conta	•	•••	•	e experie	nce				
at this institution. Please indicate the e		e with each.	1.1.1						
My nonclassroom interactions with	Strongly disagree	1	1%	65	1%	1	2%	36	1%
faculty had a positive influence on my	Disagree	2	2%	222	3%	1	2%	159	3%
personal growth, values, and attitudes.	Neither agree nor disagree	14	13%	1,117	13%	6	9%	902	15%
	Agree	32	29%	3,027	36%	25	39%	2,191	37%
	Strongly agree	62	56%	3,936	47%	31	48%	2,621	44%
	Total	111	100%	8,367	100%	64	100%	5 <i>,</i> 909	100%
My nonclassroom interactions with	Strongly disagree	1	1%	63	1%	0	0%	39	1%
faculty had a positive influence on my	Disagree	3	3%	208	3%	1	2%	152	3%
intellectual growth and interest in	Neither agree nor disagree	14	13%	1,140	14%	8	13%	915	16%
ideas.	Agree	39	35%	3,054	37%	23	36%	2,151	37%
	Strongly agree	54	49%	3,895	47%	32	50%	2,643	45%
	Total	111	100%	8,360	100%	64	100%	5,900	100%
My nonclassroom interactions with	Strongly disagree	1	1%	122	2%	1	2%	77	1%
faculty had a positive influence on my	Disagree	6	5%	439	5%	7	11%	341	6%
career goals and aspirations.	Neither agree nor disagree	23	21%	1,705	20%	12	19%	1,442	25%
	Agree	32	29%	2,787	33%	21	33%	1,975	34%
	Strongly agree	49	44%	3,302	40%	23	36%	2,052	35%
	Total	111	100%	8,355	100%	64	100%	5,887	100%
I developed a close, personal	Strongly disagree	3	3%	228	3%	0	0%	190	3%
relationship with at least one faculty	Disagree	10	9%	871	10%	12	19%	771	13%

© 2020 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium



# Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

#### Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty											
				-		Illinois W Unive	/esleyan ersity	All Other	r Alumni		
		5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort		in 10-Yea	ar Cohort		
member.	Neither agree nor disagree	9	8%	1,094	13%	8	13%	877	15%		
	Agree	34	31%	2,357	28%	16	25%	1,643	28%		
	Strongly agree	55	50%	3,805	46%	28	44%	2,419	41%		
	Total	111	100%	8,355	100%	64	100%	5,900	100%		



# Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

#### Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty										
		Illinois Wesleyan University 5-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		Illinois Wesleyan University 10-Year Cohort		All Other	r Alumni ar Cohort	
I was satisfied with the opportunities to	Strongly disagree	2	2%	113	1%	0	0%	76	1%	
meet and interact informally with	Disagree	2	2%	487	6%	6	9%	381	7%	
faculty members.	Neither agree nor disagree	12	11%	1,150	14%	12	19%	892	15%	
	Agree	53	48%	3,167	38%	23	36%	2,265	38%	
	Strongly agree	42	38%	3,431	41%	23	36%	2,279	39%	
	Total	111	100%	8,348	100%	64	100%	5 <i>,</i> 893	100%	



#### **Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations**

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each? Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Connected what I learned in multiple courses.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations											
	Illinois Wesleyan University 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Illinois Wesleyan University 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort							
Mean	3.81 ↓	3.93	3.69 ↓	3.87							
Standard Deviation	0.62	0.59	0.65	0.60							
75th Percentile	4.29	4.36	4.21	4.29							
Median	3.79	3.93	3.75	3.86							
25th Percentile	3.36	3.50	3.29	3.50							
Total Responses	105	7,584	54	5,323							

The symbols in Table 2 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your institution's alumni and the

overall mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when n ≥ 10. For more information on how we calculate

effect sizes, please see the Technical Information tab.

ttt Large positive difference t Small positive difference ≠ Small positive difference ≈ No difference ↓ Small negative difference ↓ Small negative difference

↓↓↓ Large negative difference

Table 3: Responses to Statements in the	e Challenging Assignments and High Fa	culty Exp	pectations	Indicato	r				
		Illinois V	Vesleyan			Illinois \	Vesleyan		
		Univ	ersity	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		,		All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Yea	Cohort					in 10-Ye	ar Cohort
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution.									
About how often did you experience each	? (Q5)								
Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.	Never	0	0%	11	0%	0	0%	10	0%
	Rarely	1	1%	69	1%	1	2%	52	1%
	Sometimes	15	14%	893	11%	10	18%	617	11%
	Often	46	43%	3,396	43%	29	52%	2,268	41%
	Very often	44	42%	3,462	44%	16	29%	2,545	46%
	Total	106	100%	7,831	100%	56	100%	5,492	100%



#### **Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations**

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each? Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations										
		Illinois Wesleyan University		All Other Alumni			Nesleyan /ersity	All Othe	r Alumni	
		5-Yea	Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort		in 10-Ye	ar Cohort	
Faculty asked me to show how a particular	Never	1	1%	55	1%	1	2%	37	1%	
course concept could be applied to an actual	Rarely	3	3%	352	5%	5	9%	316	6%	
problem or situation.	Sometimes	29	27%	1,799	23%	14	25%	1,320	24%	
	Often	40	38%	3,121	40%	23	41%	2,196	40%	
	Very often	33	31%	2,488	32%	13	23%	1,612	29%	
	Total	106	100%	7,815	100%	56	100%	5,481	100%	
Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in	Never	1	1%	120	2%	2	4%	75	1%	
ideas, principles, or points of view presented	Rarely	17	16%	710	9%	8	15%	547	10%	
in the course.	Sometimes	37	35%	2,021	26%	20	36%	1,549	28%	
	Often	28	26%	2,748	35%	15	27%	1,856	34%	
	Very often	23	22%	2,205	28%	10	18%	1,443	26%	
	Total	106	100%	7,804	100%	55	100%	5,470	100%	



#### **Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations**

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

#### 1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each? Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

		Illinois V	Vesleyan			Illinois \	Nesleyan		
		Univ	ersity	All Other	r Alumni	Univ	/ersity	All Other Alumn	
		5-Yea	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	ar Cohort	in 10-Ye	ar Cohort
Faculty asked me to argue for or against a	Never	1	1%	75	1%	1	2%	72	1%
particular point of view.	Rarely	9	9%	610	8%	5	9%	429	8%
	Sometimes	30	28%	1,948	25%	14	26%	1,433	26%
	Often	38	36%	2,696	35%	20	36%	1,879	34%
	Very often	28	26%	2,472	32%	15	27%	1,660	30%
	Total	106	100%	7,801	100%	55	100%	5,473	100%
Faculty challenged my ideas in class.	Never	1	1%	53	1%	1	2%	51	1%
	Rarely	8	8%	440	6%	3	6%	310	6%
	Sometimes	27	26%	2,100	27%	20	36%	1,579	29%
	Often	41	39%	2,878	37%	23	42%	1,966	36%
	Very often	29	27%	2,316	30%	8	15%	1,558	29%
	Total	106	100%	7,787	100%	55	100%	5,464	100%
Students challenged each other's ideas in	Never	1	1%	47	1%	2	4%	43	1%
class.	Rarely	5	5%	511	7%	6	11%	369	7%
	Sometimes	35	33%	1,958	25%	14	26%	1,497	27%
	Often	40	38%	2,908	37%	24	44%	1,998	37%
	Very often	24	23%	2,366	30%	9	16%	1,554	29%
	Total	105	100%	7,790	100%	55	100%	5,461	100%
Below are descriptions of the types of exam	is or assignments you may have h	ad in your undergr	aduate cla	asses					
at this institution. About how often did you	undertake each? (Q6)								
Wrote essays.	Never	0	0%	16	0%	0	0%	10	0%
	Rarely	4	4%	303	4%	1	2%	171	3%
	Sometimes	17	16%	1,013	13%	5	9%	720	13%
	Often	41	39%	2,209	28%	14	25%	1,557	29%
	Very often	44	42%	4,242	55%	36	64%	3,008	55%
	Total	106	100%	7,783	100%	56	100%	5,466	100%



#### **Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations**

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

#### 1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each? Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations										
					r Alumni ar Cohort	Univ	Wesleyan versity ar Cohort	All Othe	r Alumni ar Cohort	
Completed assignments or projects in which I	Never	0	0%	28	0%	0	0%	19	0%	
solved problems.	Rarely	10	9%	401	5%	6	11%	320	6%	
	Sometimes	27	26%	1,630	21%	16	29%	1,253	23%	
	Often	39	37%	2,674	34%	18	32%	1,874	34%	
	Very often	30	28%	3,064	39%	16	29%	2,009	37%	
	Total	106	100%	7,797	100%	56	100%	5,475	100%	



#### **Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations**

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each? Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

			Nesleyan versity	All Othe			Nesleyan versity		r Alumni
			r Cohort		r Cohort	•		in 10-Yea	
Made oral presentations.	Never	0	0%	41	1%	10-102	2%	32	1%
indue of al presentations.	Rarely	2	2%	588	8%	4	7%	535	10%
	Sometimes	39	37%	2,658	34%	20	36%	2,122	39%
	Often	44	42%	2.847	37%	21	38%	1.865	34%
	Very often	21	20%	1,670	21%	10	18%	920	17%
	Total	106	100%	7.804	100%	56	100%	5,474	100%
Used course content to address a problem	Never	2	2%	117	2%	2	4%	132	2%
not presented in the course.	Rarely	21	20%	1,134	15%	15	27%	902	17%
	Sometimes	45	43%	3,036	39%	23	42%	2,296	42%
	Often	26	25%	2.295	30%	10	18%	1.496	28%
	Very often	12	11%	1,179	15%	5	9%	623	11%
	Total	106	100%	7,761	100%	55	100%	5,449	100%
Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from	Never	1	1%	30	0%	1	2%	25	1%
a course.	Rarely	10	9%	396	5%	7	13%	274	5%
	Sometimes	27	26%	1,897	24%	17	30%	1,455	27%
	Often	51	48%	3,241	42%	17	30%	2,274	42%
	Very often	17	16%	2,207	28%	14	25%	1,420	26%
	Total	106	100%	7,771	100%	56	100%	5,448	100%
Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a	Never	1	1%	42	1%	2	4%	28	1%
particular argument or point of view.	Rarely	5	5%	509	7%	4	7%	380	7%
,	Sometimes	39	37%	1,991	26%	19	34%	1,439	26%
	Often	37	35%	2,887	37%	22	39%	2,060	38%
	Very often	24	23%	2,340	30%	9	16%	1,552	28%
	Total	106	100%	7,769	100%	56	100%	5,459	100%
Argued for or against a particular point of	Never	2	2%	53	1%	1	2%	47	1%
view and defended my argument.	Rarely	8	8%	627	8%	9	16%	441	8%



#### **Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations**

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each? Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations											
		Illinois Wesleyan University		All Other Alumni		Illinois Wes Iumni Universi		All Othe	r Alumni		
		5-Yea	5-Year Cohort		r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	ar Cohort		
	Sometimes	33	31%	2,114	27%	23	41%	1,602	29%		
	Often	36	34%	2,713	35%	14	25%	1,890	35%		
	Very often	27	26%	2,268	29%	9	16%	1,477	27%		
	Total	106	100%	7,775	100%	56	100%	5,457	100%		
Connected what I learned in multiple courses.	Never	1	1%	55	1%	1	2%	47	1%		
	Rarely	6	6%	334	4%	7	13%	310	6%		
	Sometimes	24	23%	1,535	20%	19	34%	1,258	23%		
	Often	46	43%	2,818	36%	17	30%	2,036	37%		
	Very often	29	27%	3,028	39%	12	21%	1,804	33%		
	Total	106	100%	7,770	100%	56	100%	5,455	100%		



#### Interactions with Diversity

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Interactions with Diversity</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

#### Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values. Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Had serious discussions with students whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity											
	Illinois Wesleyan University 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Illinois Wesleyan University 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort							
Mean	2.92	3.07	2.72	3.03							
Standard Deviation	0.86	0.88	0.83	0.87							
75th Percentile	3.50	3.67	3.17	3.67							
Median	2.83	3.00	2.83	3.00							
25th Percentile	2.33	2.50	2.17	2.50							
Total Responses	104	7,558	55	5,316							

The symbols in Table 2 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your institution's alumni and the overall

mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when n ≥ 10. For more information on how we calculate effect

sizes, please see the Technical Information tab.

**111** Large positive difference **1** Medium positive difference **1** Small positive difference  $\Rightarrow$  No difference **1** Small negative difference **1** Medium negative difference

**III** Large negative difference

Table 3: Responses to Statements in the Inte	eractions with Diversity Indicator								
		Illinois V	Nesleyan			Illinois \	Wesleyan		
		Univ	University		r Alumni	Univ	versity	All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Yea	5-Year Cohort		r Cohort	10-Year Cohort		in 10-Yea	ar Cohort
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
How often did you have the following experience	es as an undergraduate at this institu	tion? <mark>(Q7)</mark>							
Attended a debate or lecture on a current	Never	19	18%	812	11%	10	18%	495	9%
political/social issue.	Rarely	32	31%	1,928	25%	21	38%	1,229	23%
	Sometimes	31	30%	2,605	34%	19	34%	1,895	35%
	Often	16	15%	1,436	19%	3	5%	1,134	21%
	Very often	6	6%	830	11%	3	5%	608	11%
	Total	104	100%	7,611	100%	56	100%	5,361	100%
Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness	Never	14	14%	1,180	16%	8	14%	842	16%
workshop.	Rarely	28	27%	2,183	29%	21	38%	1,582	30%
	Sometimes	36	35%	2,355	31%	21	38%	1,681	31%
	Often	18	17%	1,167	15%	3	5%	818	15%
	Very often	8	8%	727	10%	3	5%	433	8%
	Total	104	100%	7,612	100%	56	100%	5,356	100%
Had discussions about intergroup relations with	Never	10	10%	580	8%	5	9%	463	9%
students differing from you in gender, national	Rarely	22	21%	1,510	20%	15	27%	1,195	22%
origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality,	Sometimes	38	37%	2,341	31%	26	46%	1,674	31%
or values.	Often	25	24%	1,852	24%	6	11%	1,255	23%
	Very often	9	9%	1,315	17%	4	7%	765	14%



#### Interactions with Diversity

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Interactions with Diversity</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

#### Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values. Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Had serious discussions with students whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions	with Diversity								
		Univ	Wesleyan versity	All Othe		Illinois Wesleyan University		All Othe	-
		5-Year Cohort					10-Year Cohort		ar Cohort
	Total	104	100%	7,598	100%	56	100%	5,352	100%
Had serious discussions with other students	Never	1	1%	334	4%	4	7%	247	5%
about different lifestyles and customs.	Rarely	19	18%	1,171	15%	11	20%	938	18%
	Sometimes	42	40%	2,303	30%	22	40%	1,758	33%
	Often	31	30%	2,249	30%	13	24%	1,476	28%
	Very often	11	11%	1,534	20%	5	9%	929	17%
	Total	104	100%	7,591	100%	55	100%	5,348	100%
Had serious discussions with faculty or staff	Never	15	14%	966	13%	13	24%	651	12%
whose political, social, or religious opinions	Rarely	34	33%	2,269	30%	17	31%	1,683	32%
were different from your own.	Sometimes	32	31%	2,451	32%	16	29%	1,725	32%
	Often	18	17%	1,211	16%	6	11%	853	16%
	Very often	5	5%	692	9%	3	6%	430	8%
	Total	104	100%	7,589	100%	55	100%	5,342	100%
Had serious discussions with students whose	Never	6	6%	425	6%	6	11%	265	5%
political, social, or religious opinions were	Rarely	25	24%	1,549	20%	9	16%	1,140	21%
different from your own.	Sometimes	31	30%	2,621	35%	21	38%	1,867	35%
	Often	33	32%	1,849	24%	11	20%	1,307	24%
	Very often	9	9%	1,143	15%	8	15%	770	14%
	Total	104	100%	7,587	100%	55	100%	5,349	100%



#### Back to Table of Contents

This tab includes three tables on the Growth on Intellectual Outcomes indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

= Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quit	e a bit; 4 = Very much
o what extent did your experience	as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?
Careful reading	Effective writing
Critical thinking	Effective speaking
Creative thinking	Teamwork
Information literacy	Problem solving
Quantitative literacy	Integrative thinking

Fable 2: Summary Results for Growth on Intellectual Outcomes											
	Illinois Wesleyan		Illinois Wesleyan								
	University	All Other Alumni	University	All Other Alumni							
	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort							
Mean	3.16	3.25	2.95	3.22							
Standard Deviation	0.54	0.56	0.62	0.58							
75th Percentile	3.60	3.70	3.45	3.70							
Median	3.20	3.30	3.00	3.30							
25th Percentile	2.80	2.90	2.45	2.90							
Total Responses	95	7,128	52	5,035							

The symbols in Table 2 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your institution's alumni and the overall

mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when n ≥ 10. For more information on how we calculate effect

sizes, please see the Technical Information tab. 111 Large positive difference 11 Medium positive difference 1 Small positive difference = No difference 1 Small negative difference 11 Medium negative difference 111 Large negative difference

		Univ	Vesleyan ersity Cohort	All Other in 5-Yea	r Alumni r Cohort	Univ	Vesleyan rersity Ir Cohort	All Othe in 10-Yea	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
To what extent did your experience as an unde	-	oute to your							
knowledge, skills, and personal development i									
Careful reading: Comprehension and analysis	Very little	4	4%	176	2%	3	6%	112	2%
of written texts within and across genres.	Some	23	24%	1,097	15%	8	15%	781	15%
	Quite a bit	35	36%	2,441	34%	18	35%	1,645	32%
	Very much	36	37%	3,524	49%	23	44%	2,589	51%
	Total	98	100%	7,238	100%	52	100%	5,127	100%
Critical thinking: Examination of ideas,	Very little	1	1%	83	1%	2	4%	63	1%
evidence, and assumptions before accepting or	Some	13 33	13%	587	8%	3	6%	487	10%
formulating a conclusion.	Quite a bit		34%	2,078	29%	22	42%	1,451	28%
	Very much	51 98	52%	4,491	62%	25	48%	3,119	61%
Constitute the laboration of the second states	Total		100%	7,239	100%	52	100%	5,120	100%
Creative thinking: Developing or combining	Very little	2	2%	196	3% 17%	2	4%	131	3%
ideas, images, or expertise in innovative ways.	Some	23	24%	1,252	17%	20	39%	942	18%
	Quite a bit	34	35%	2,652	37%	17	33%	1,842	36%
	Very much	38	39%	3,135	43% 100%	13 52	25%	2,201	43% 100%
	Total	-	100%			-	100%	5,116	
Information literacy: Locating, evaluating, and using information effectively and responsibly	Verylittle	2	2%	118	2%	2	4%	78	2%
	Some	14	14%	847	12%	8	15%	613	12%
or a particular purpose.	Quite a bit	37	38%	2,655	37%	17	33%	1,807	35%
	Very much	44	45%	3,605	50%	25	48%	2,618	51%
Our station literation Contribution and another disc	Total	97	100%	7,225	100% 4%	52	100% 12%	5,116	100% 4%
Quantitative literacy: Seeking, understanding,	Very little		3%	286		6		211	
nd using quantitative information ppropriately to solve problems or make	Some	19	20%	1,398	19%	15	29%	1,025	20%
	Quite a bit	42	43%	2,531	35%	18	35% 25%	1,768	35%
arguments.	Very much		34%	3,013	42%	13		2,112	41%
	Total	97	100%	7,228	100%	52	100%	5,116	100%
Effective writing: Conveying accurate and	Very little	3	3%	145	2%	0	0%	95	2%
compelling content in clear, expressive, and	Some	18	19%	905	13%	11	21%	642	13%
audience-appropriate prose.	Quite a bit	30	31%	2,243	31%	20	39%	1,531	30%
	Very much	45	47%	3,935	54%	21	40%	2,847	56%
	Total	96	100%	7,228	100%	52	100%	5,115	100%
Effective speaking: Conveying accurate and	Very little	3	3%	345	5%	5	10%	280	6%
compelling content in clear, expressive, and	Some	24	25% 41%	1,687 2,577	23% 36%	17 21	33% 40%	1,325	26% 36%
audience-appropriate oral presentations.	Quite a bit Very much	40 30	41% 31%	2,577	36%	21 9	40%	1,823	30%
	Total	97	100%	7,222	100%	52	100%	5,110	100%
Teamwork: Contributing to a team, facilitating		97	0%	285	4%		100%	236	5%
the work of team members, and fostering a	Very little Some	20	21%	1.477	20%	5 18	35%	1,286	25%
constructive team climate.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~								
constructive team climate.	Quite a bit	42	43%	2,653	37%	16	31%	1,858 1,739	36%
	Very much Total	35 97	36% 100%	2,816	39% 100%	13 52	25% 100%		34% 100%
Problem solving: Designing, evaluating, and		97	100%	7,231 152	2%	4	8%	5,119 143	3%
	Very little	~~~~						******	~~~~~
implementing a strategy to answer questions or	Some Ouite a bit	15	16%	1,049	15%	14	27%	861	17%
achieve a goal.	Quite a bit	43	44%	2,696	37%	19	37%	1,898	37%
	Very much Total	38	39% 100%	3,313	46% 100%	15 52	29% 100%	2,201 5,103	43% 100%
Integrative thinking. The babit of as a set				7,210	2%			5,103	100%
	Very little	3	3%			3 18	6%	156 893	
tegrative thinking: The habit of connecting eas and experiences, and the ability to ansfer learning to novel situations.	Some	19	20%	1,102	15%		35%		18%
	Quite a bit Very much	41 33	43% 34%	2,689 3,237	37% 45%	18	35% 25%	1,900 2,155	37%
						13			42%



#### Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Growth on Civic Outcomes i</u> ndicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas? Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Overall, to what extent did your undergraduate experience at this institution prepare you for the following activities?

Social and civic involvement

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Illinois Wesleyan University 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Illinois Wesleyan University 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.63 👭	2.86	2.35	2.79
Standard Deviation	0.70	0.79	0.75	0.79
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.00	3.50
Median	2.75	3.00	2.25	2.75
25th Percentile	2.25	2.25	1.75	2.25
Total Responses	97	7,048	51	5,005

The symbols in Table 2 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your institution's alumni and the overall

mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when n ≥ 10. For more information on how we calculate effect sizes,

nlease see the Technical Information tah

111 Large positive difference 1 Medium positive difference ↑ Small positive difference ≈ No difference ↓ Small negative difference ↓ Medium negative difference

			Wesleyan				Wesleyan		
		_	versity	All Other			versity	All Othe	
		5-Yea	r Cohort	in 5-Yea		10-Year Cohort		in 10-Yea	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
To what extent did your experience as an undergra	aduate at this institution contrib	ute to your							
knowledge, skills, and personal development in th	e following areas? (Q8)								
<b>Civic engagement:</b> Promoting the quality of life in a community, through both political and nonpolitical processes.	Very little	19	20%	935	13%	18	35%	707	14%
	Some	37	38%	2,179	30%	16	31%	1,685	33%
	Quite a bit	28	29%	2,079	29%	11	21%	1,413	28%
	Very much	13	13%	2,015	28%	7	14%	1,300	26%
	Total	97	100%	7,208	100%	52	100%	5,105	100%
Intercultural knowledge and competence:	Very little	8	8%	589	8%	10	19%	449	9%
Information, skills, and commitments that	Some	26	27%	1,934	27%	19	37%	1,515	30%
support effective and appropriate interactions in a	Quite a bit	46	47%	2,298	32%	17	33%	1,660	33%
variety of cultural contexts.	Very much	17	18%	2,387	33%	6	12%	1,476	29%
	Total	97	100%	7,208	100%	52	100%	5,100	100%
Ethical reasoning: Recognizing ethical issues,	Very little	8	8%	307	4%	3	6%	274	5%
examining different ethical perspectives, and	Some	18	19%	1,507	21%	21	40%	1,148	23%
considering the ramifications of alternative	Quiteabit	43	44%	2,599	36%	18	35%	1,852	36%
actions.	Very much	28	29%	2,802	39%	10	19%	1,833	36%
	Total	97	100%	7,215	100%	52	100%	5,107	100%
Overall, to what extent did your undergraduate ex	perience at this institution prep	are you for tl	he following	gactivities	? (Q9)				
Social and civic involvement	Very little	15	16%	825	12%	11	21%	647	13%



#### Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Growth on Civic Outcomes i</u> ndicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

# Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas? Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Overall, to what extent did your undergraduate experience at this institution prepare you for the following activities?

Social and civic involvement

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Illinois Wesleyan University 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Illinois Wesleyan University 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.63 👭	2.86	2.35 👭	2.79
Standard Deviation	0.70	0.79	0.75	0.79
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.00	3.50
Median	2.75	3.00	2.25	2.75
25th Percentile	2.25	2.25	1.75	2.25
Total Responses	97	7,048	51	5,005

The symbols in Table 2 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your institution's alumni and the overall

mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when n ≥ 10. For more information on how we calculate effect sizes,

nlease see the Technical Information tab

**111** Large positive difference **11** Medium positive difference **1** Small positive difference  $\approx$  No difference **1** Small negative difference **111** Large negative difference

Table 3: Responses to Statements in the Growth on Civic Outcomes Indicator										
	Illinois Wesleyan				Illinois Wesleyan					
	University A		All Other Alumni		University		All Other Alum			
	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort		in 10-Year Coho			
Quite a bit	31	32%	2,324	33%	13	25%	1,621	32%		
Very much	16	17%	1,762	25%	5	10%	1,150	23%		
Total	97	100%	7,135	100%	52	100%	5,071	100%		



		Illinois V	Vesleyan			Illinois \	Nesleyan		
		Univ	ersity	All Other	r Alumni	Univ	/ersity	All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Yea	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	ar Cohort	in 10-Ye	ar Cohort
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
How frequently did you participate	in the following activities as an undergradu	ate at this institu	tion? <mark>(Q1</mark> 1	1)		•			
Student or campus government	Never	68	72%	4,538	65%	38	78%	3,168	63%
	Rarely	14	15%	1,000	14%	4	8%	736	15%
	Sometimes	6	6%	672	10%	6	12%	516	10%
	Often	3	3%	376	5%	0	0%	262	5%
	Very often	4	4%	410	6%	1	2%	324	7%
	Total	95	100%	6,996	100%	49	100%	5,006	100%
Intercollegiate athletics	Never	69	73%	4,434	64%	32	65%	3,130	63%
<u> </u>	Rarely	4	4%	481	7%	2	4%	353	7%
	Sometimes	5	5%	479	7%	5	10%	352	7%
	Often	3	3%	353	5%	0	0%	261	5%
	Very often	14	15%	1,237	18%	10	20%	910	18%
	Total	95	100%	6,984	100%	49	100%	5,006	100%
Intramural or club sports	Never	55	58%	3,191	46%	25	51%	2,189	44%
·	Rarely	8	8%	905	13%	11	22%	, 665	13%
	Sometimes	18	19%	1,138	16%	5	10%	894	18%
	Often	8	8%	774	11%	4	8%	586	12%
	Very often	6	6%	990	14%	4	8%	672	13%
	Total	95	100%	6,998	100%	49	100%	5,006	100%
Student publications	Never	70	74%	4,248	61%	33	67%	2,898	58%
·	Rarely	10	11%	1,220	17%	3	6%	930	19%
	Sometimes	9	10%	861	12%	8	16%	629	13%
	Often	3	3%	345	5%	3	6%	286	6%
	Very often	3	3%	318	5%	2	4%	254	5%
	Total	95	100%	6,992	100%	49	100%	4,997	100%
Performing arts/music	Never	36	38%	2,929	42%	17	34%	2,105	42%
	Rarely	16	17%	1,160	17%	9	18%	779	16%
	Sometimes	11	12%	1,070	15%	8	16%	829	17%
	Often	6	- <u>-</u> /*	654	9%	4	8%	460	9%
	Very often	26	27%	1,188	17%	12	24%	831	17%
	Total	95	100%	7,001	100%	50	100%	5,004	100%
Political organizations or clubs	Never	65	68%	3,970	57%	27	56%	2,634	53%
	Rarely	12	13%	1,182	17%	9	19%	957	19%
	Sometimes	13	14%	975	14%	••••••	15%	739	15%
	Often	4	4%	441	6%	7	4%	377	8%
	Very often	1	1%	408	6%	3	 6%	289	6%
	Total	95	100%	6,976	100%	48	100%	4,996	100%



#### Responses to Questions on College Activities Illinois Wesleyan Illinois Wesleyan University All Other Alumni University All Other Alumni 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort in 10-Year Cohort Community service 932 13% 12% 693 14% Never 15 16% 6 Rarely 25 26% 1,293 19% 12 24% 1,059 21% Sometimes 33 35% 2,430 35% 21 42% 1,738 35% 14 1,371 Often 15% 20% 7 14% 927 19% 589 8 8% 14% 4 8% Very often 972 12% Total 95 100% 6,998 100% 50 100% 5,006 100% Sorority/fraternity Never 50 53% 5,066 72% 27 55% 3,634 73% 249 170 Rarely 5 5% 4% 3 6% 3% 7 7% 261 4% 2 4% 192 4% Sometimes Often 4% 329 5% 20% 235 5% 4 10 Very often 29 31% 1,092 16% 7 14% 772 15% 95 100% 6,997 100% 49 100% 5,003 100% Total 3,967 25 7 **Religious groups** 72% 57% 51% 2,748 55% Never 68 Rarely 15 16% 1,026 15% 14% 742 15% 6% Sometimes 5 5% 950 14% 3 704 14% Often 5 5% 509 7% 8 16% 397 8% 2 545 408 Very often 2% 8% 6 12% 8% 6,997 4,999 Total 95 100% 100% 49 100% 100% Internships (paid or unpaid) 33 2,404 35% 34% 18 36% 1,871 37% Never 596 Rarely 2 2% 9% 4 8% 444 9% 23 24% 1,611 23% 17 34% 1,185 24% Sometimes 16% Often 20 21% 1,338 19% 8 890 18% Very often 17 18% 1,050 15% 3 6% 614 12% Total 95 100% 6,999 100% 50 100% 5,004 100% Service organizations (on or off Never 25 26% 1,834 26% 18 36% 1,255 25% campus) Rarely 24 25% 1,278 18% 9 18% 974 20% 22 13 23% 1,782 26% 26% 1,382 28% Sometimes Often 14 15% 1,149 16% 7 14% 805 16% 3 Very often 10 11% 942 14% 6% 590 12% 95 100% 50 Total 6,985 100% 100% 5,006 100% Multicultural student groups 44 2,927 Never 46% 42% 20 41% 1,988 40% 29% Rarely 25 26% 1,431 21% 14 1,114 22% 16 17% 1,372 20% 6 12% 1,055 Sometimes 21% Often 5 5% 665 10% 4 8% 469 9% 5 5 8% Very often 5% 597 9% 10% 380 95 100% 6,992 100% 49 100% 5,006 100% Total Working with faculty on research 39 Never 41% 3,189 46% 23 47% 2,350 47% 9 Rarely 17 18% 1,052 15% 18% 819 16% 903 18% 20 21% 1,280 18% 6 12% Sometimes Often 10 11% 801 11% 6 12% 498 10% Very often 9 10% 679 10% 5 10% 431 9% Total 95 100% 7,001 100% 49 100% 5,001 100%



#### Responses to Questions on College Activities Illinois Wesleyan Illinois Wesleyan University All Other Alumni University All Other Alumni 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort **10-Year Cohort** in 10-Year Cohort Study abroad 3,401 49% 22 44% 2,575 52% Never 63 66% 5 Rarely 4 4% 273 4% 10% 179 4% Sometimes 7 7% 1,056 15% 8 16% 773 15% 736 Often 10 11% 1,128 16% 12 24% 15% 741 11 12% 1,134 3 6% Very often 16% 15% Total 95 100% 6,992 100% 50 100% 5,004 100% Never 19 On-campus employment 20% 2,027 29% 9 18% 1,374 27% Rarely 6 6% 271 4% 2 4% 261 5% 10 11% 859 12% 7 14% 675 14% Sometimes Often 24% 1,231 18% 12 926 19% 11 12% Very often 49 52% 2,615 37% 20 40% 1,774 35% 95 100% 100% 50 100% 100% Total 7,003 5,010 46 7 3,845 25 2 2,640 Off-campus employment Never 48% 55% 51% 53% 7% Rarely 543 8% 4% 425 9% 16% Sometimes 17 18% 958 14% 8 732 15% 519 Often 9 10% 688 10% 14% 10% Very often 16 17% 955 14% 7 14% 686 14% 6,989 Total 95 100% 100% 49 100% 5,002 100% 42 Independent study 45% 2,947 42% 23 47% 38% Never 1,881 Rarely 11 12% 864 12% 4 8% 685 14% 22 23% 25% 1,213 1,485 21% 12 24% Sometimes Often 12 13% 936 13% 6 12% 717 14% Very often 7 7% 762 11% 4 8% 502 10% Total 94 100% 6,994 100% 49 100% 4,998 100% Other 1,542 82% Never 31 91% 15 100% 1,062 81% Rarely 17 2% 0 0% 0 0% 1% 23 0 37 3% 1 3% 61 3% 0% Sometimes Often 0 0% 54 3% 0 0% 37 3% Very often 0 2 6% 201 11% 0% 151 12% Total 34 100% 1,875 100% 15 100% 1,310 100%

To what extent did your experience with each of the following as an undergraduate at this institution

contribute to your learning and personal development? (Q12)

In this question, alumni only saw activities in which they reported participating in above.

Student or campus	Verylittle	9	35%	782	33%	4	40%	562	32%
government	Some	8	31%	801	34%	4	40%	599	34%
	Quite a bit	5	19%	404	17%	1	10%	335	19%
	Very much	4	15%	364	16%	1	10%	272	15%
	Total	26	100%	2,351	100%	10	100%	1,768	100%
Intercollegiate athletics	Verylittle	4	17%	491	20%	4	25%	386	21%
	Some	5	22%	483	20%	3	19%	340	19%
	Quite a bit	5	22%	424	17%	2	13%	330	18%
	Very much	9	39%	1,033	43%	7	44%	753	42%
	Total	23	100%	2,431	100%	16	100%	1,809	100%
Intramural or club sports	Verylittle	19	51%	1,174	32%	9	43%	914	34%
	Some	12	32%	1,169	32%	8	38%	928	34%
	Quite a bit	6	16%	720	20%	3	14%	486	18%
	Very much	0	0%	574	16%	1	5%	374	14%
	Total	37	100%	3,637	100%	21	100%	2,702	100%
Student publications	Verylittle	8	35%	839	32%	5	36%	590	29%
	Some	9	39%	975	37%	4	29%	861	43%
	Quite a bit	4	17%	454	17%	4	29%	317	16%
	Very much	2	9%	347	13%	1	7%	247	12%
	Total	23	100%	2,615	100%	14	100%	2,015	100%
Performing arts/music	Very little	10	18%	904	23%	8	26%	589	21%
	Some	17	30%	1,140	29%	10	32%	941	34%
	Quite a bit	7	13%	802	21%	4	13%	557	20%



#### Responses to Questions on College Activities Illinois Wesleyan Illinois Wesleyan University All Other Alumni University All Other Alumni 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort **10-Year Cohort** in 10-Year Cohort Very much 22 39% 1,065 27% 9 29% 718 26% Total 56 100% 3,911 100% 31 100% 2,805 100% Political organizations or clubs Very little 11 39% 986 34% 8 44% 737 33% 43% 4 3 843 Some 12 1,056 37% 22% 37% 2 7% 491 17% 17% 413 Quite a bit 18% 3 331 3 Very much 11% 12% 17% 263 12% Total 28 100% 2,864 100% 18 100% 2,256 100% Very little 24% Community service 10 13% 839 14% 15% 10 640 37 49% 2,143 37% 14 34% 1,674 40% Some 1,642 13 Quite a bit 19 25% 28% 32% 27% 1,131 1,204 Very much 10 13% 21% 4 10% 719 17% 76 100% 5,828 100% 41 100% 4,164 100% Total 7 7% 28% Sorority/fraternity Very little 288 16% 33% 207 16% 3 Some 12 376 20% 24% 273 21% Quite a bit 26% 40% 297 551 11 390 21% 4 19% 22% Very much 17 789 43% 5 24% 42% 43 1,328 Total 100% 1,843 100% 21 100% 100% **Religious groups** 764 26% 564 26% Very little 6 25% 6 26% 54% 773 34% 36% Some 998 7 30% 13 443 Quite a bit 5 21% 602 21% 3 13% 20% 0 535 19% 7 30% 394 18% Very much 0% 24 23 Total 100% 2,899 100% 100% 2,174 100% Internships (paid or unpaid) Very little 2 3% 386 9% 0 0% 272 9% Some 12 20% 888 20% 9 31% 716 24% Quite a bit 27% 31% 10 934 16 1,342 35% 31% 49% 1,783 35% Very much 29 41% 10 1,103 37% 59 29 Total 100% 4,399 100% 100% 3,025 100%



		Illinois V	Vesleyan			Illinois V	Vesleyan		
		Univ	ersity	All Other	Alumni	Univ	ersity	All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	ar Cohort
Service organizations (on or off	Very little	12	18%	914	19%	7	24%	704	20%
campus)	Some	26	39%	1,791	36%	11	38%	1,439	40%
	Quite a bit	24	36%	1,266	26%	7	24%	882	24%
	Very much	5	8%	974	20%	4	14%	590	16%
	Total	67	100%	4,945	100%	29	100%	3,615	100%
Multicultural student groups	Verylittle	8	17%	746	19%	5	19%	596	21%
	Some	27	57%	1,432	37%	11	42%	1,126	39%
	Quite a bit	27 7	15%	960	25%	5	19%	711	25%
	Very much	5	11%	744	19%	5	19%	469	16%
	Total	47	100%	3,882	100%	26	100%	2,902	100%
Working with faculty on research	Verylittle	6	12%	526	14%	4	17%	410	16%
	Some	19	37%	981	27%	7	29%	775	30%
	Quite a bit	11	22%	897	25%	6	25%	649	25%
	Very much	15	29%	1,252	34%	7	29%	729	28%
	Total	51	100%	3,656	100%	24	100%	2,563	100%
Study abroad	Verylittle	1	3%	67	2%	1	4%	49	2%
	Some	6	20%	335	10%	4	15%	189	8%
	Quite a bit	5	17%	748	22%	8	30%	548	23%
	Very much	18	60%	2,313	67%	14	52%	1,575	67%
	Total	30	100%	3,463	100%	27	100%	2,361	100%
On-campus employment	Verylittle	7	10%	604	13%	6	15%	480	14%
	Some	17	24%	1,113	23%	17	44%	988	28%
	Quite a bit	18	25%	1,379	29%	6	15%	984	28%
	Very much	30	42%	1,694	35%	10	26%	1,074	31%
	Total	72	100%	4,790	100%	39	100%	3,526	100%
Off-campus employment	Very little	8	18%	600	20%	4	17%	464	20%
	Some	7	16%	773	26%	7	30%	664	29%
	Quite a bit	16	36%	759	25%	9	39%	576	25%
	Very much	14	31%	872	29%	3	13%	571	25%
	Total	45	100%	3,004	100%	23	100%	2,275	100%
Independent study	Verylittle	7	14%	538	14%	2	8%	467	16%
	Some	16	33%	1,132	29%	11	46%	947	32%
	Quite a bit	14	29%	1,127	29%	8	33%	864	29%
	Very much	12	25%	1,074	28%	3	13%	725	24%
	Total	49	100%	3,871	100%	24	100%	3,003	100%
Other	Verylittle	0	0%	29	10%	0	0%	24	11%
	Some	1	33%	39	13%	0	0%	38	17%
	Quite a bit	0	0%	53	18%	0	0%	41	18%
	Very much	2	67%	182	60%	0	0%	124	55%
	Total	3	100%	303	100%	0	0%	227	100%



This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution helped prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate major and current primary activity.

Table 1. Cummers Desults for College Catiofastics				
Table 1: Summary Results for College Satisfaction	Illinois Wesleyan University 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Illinois Wesleyan University 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
<b>Connection to Institution</b> <i>Means range from 1 (No connection) to 4 (Very strong connection)</i>		•	•	•
Mean	3.26 ≈	3.29	2.88 👭	3.23
Standard Deviation	0.66	0.73	0.78	0.75
75th Percentile	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
25th Percentile	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00
Total Responses	92	6,877	49	4,921
Satisfaction with Institution Means range from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 5 (Very satisfied)			•	·
Mean	4.27 ↓	4.37	3.94 ↓↓↓	4.41
Standard Deviation	0.84	0.82	1.06	0.80
75th Percentile	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00
25th Percentile	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00
Total Responses	91	6,874	48	4,914

The symbols in rows 10 and 17 of Table 1 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your

institution's alumni and the overall mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when  $n \ge 10$ . For

more information on how we calculate effect sizes, please see the Technical Information tab.



#### **College Satisfaction**

This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution helped prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3. we show connection and satisfaction mean scores. disaggregated by undergraduate maior **11** Large positive difference **1** Medium positive difference **2** No difference

Small negative difference II Medium negative difference III Large negative difference

		Illinois V	Nesleyan			Illinois V	Vesleyan		
		Univ	/ersity	All Othe	r Alumni	Univ	ersity	All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Yea	r Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	ar Cohort
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
How connected do you feel to this	institution? (Q13)								
No connection		0	0%	128	2%	2	4%	108	2%
Very little connection		11	12%	770	11%	12	25%	614	13%
Some connection		46	50%	2,982	43%	25	51%	2,250	46%
Very strong connection		35	38%	2,997	44%	10	20%	1,949	40%
Total		92	100%	6,877	100%	49	100%	4,921	100%
Overall, how satisfied have you be	en with your undergraduate education at this i	nstitution? (Q14	4)						
Very dissatisfied		1	1%	73	1%	2	4%	50	1%
Dissatisfied		2	2%	197	3%	2	4%	112	2%
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		11	12%	453	7%	10	21%	321	7%
Satisfied		34	37%	2,519	37%	17	35%	1,702	35%
Very satisfied		43	47%	3,632	53%	17	35%	2,729	56%
Total		91	100%	6,874	100%	48	100%	4,914	100%
Overall, to what extent did your u	ndergraduate experience at this institution pre	pare you for th	e followin	g activitie	s? <i>(Q9)</i>				
Graduate or professional school	Verylittle	3	3%	366	5%	6	12%	313	6%
	Some	22	24%	1,216	18%	10	19%	790	16%
	Quiteabit	31	34%	2,199	32%	11	21%	1,392	28%
	Very much	35	39%	3,147	45%	25	48%	2,413	49%
	Total	91	100%	6,928	100%	52	100%	4,908	100%
	5.4 (19-5)			757	11%	11	21%	505	10%
Current career	Very little	9	9%	131	11/0				
Current career	Very little Some	9 22	9% 23%	1,767	25%	13	25%	1,289	25%
Current career						13 18	25% 35%	1,289 1,613	25% 32%
Current career	Some	22	23%	1,767	25%	h	~~~~~~	h	~~~~~
Current career	Some Quite a bit	22 37	23% 38%	1,767 2,290	25% 32%	18	35%	1,613	32%
Current career Interpersonal relationships and	Some Quite a bit Very much	22 37 29	23% 38% 30%	1,767 2,290 2,343	25% 32% 33%	18 10	35% 19%	1,613 1,683	32% 33%
	Some Quite a bit Very much Total	22 37 29 97	23% 38% 30% 100%	1,767 2,290 2,343 7,157	25% 32% 33% 100% 14% 28%	18 10 52 10 22	35% 19% 100%	1,613 1,683 5,090	32% 33% 100% 14% 30%
Interpersonal relationships and	Some Quite a bit Very much Total Very little	22 37 29 97 14 26 30	23% 38% 30% 100% 15%	1,767 2,290 2,343 7,157 991	25% 32% 33% 100% 14% 28% 32%	18 10 52 10 22 15	35% 19% 100% 19% 42% 29%	1,613 1,683 5,090 705	32% 33% 100% 14% 30% 33%
Interpersonal relationships and	Some Quite a bit Very much Total Very little Some	22 37 29 97 14 26	23% 38% 30% 100% 15% 27%	1,767 2,290 2,343 7,157 991 2,019	25% 32% 33% 100% 14% 28%	18 10 52 10 22	35% 19% 100% 19% 42%	1,613 1,683 5,090 705 1,536	32% 33% 100% 14% 30%



## **College Satisfaction**

This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution below prenare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate

	,		•	'	0				
institution helped prepare them for th	eir postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show cor	nection	and satisfa	ction mea	n scores, d	lisaggreg	ated by uni	dergraduat	te maior
Responsibilities of post-	Very little	27	28%	2,416	34%	24	45%	1,692	33%
undergraduate life (e.g., managing	Some	37	38%	2,450	34%	19	36%	1,862	37%
finances, maintaining health, creating	Quiteabit	21	22%	1,419	20%	7	13%	1,022	20%
a home)	Very much	12	12%	895	13%	3	6%	531	10%
	Total	97	100%	7,180	100%	53	100%	5,107	100%
Continued learning on my own or	Very little	6	6%	689	10%	7	14%	503	10%
outside of a degree program (e.g.,	Some	22	23%	1,820	25%	15	29%	1,245	24%
learning a new language, professional	Quiteabit	40	41%	2,269	32%	21	40%	1,635	32%
certification, learning a craft)	Very much	29	30%	2,387	33%	9	17%	1,717	34%
	Total	97	100%	7,165	100%	52	100%	5,100	100%

	Illinois	Nesleyan			Illinois V	Vesleyan			
		-		All Other Alumni		-		All Other Alumni	
	5-Yea	r Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort		in 10-Year Cohort		
	n	Mean	n	Mean	n	Mean	n	Mean	
Mean score for "How connected do you feel to this institution?" (Q13) by Undergradu	ate Major	(Q25)							
Means range from 1 (No connection) to 4 (Very strong connection)									
Biological Sciences (e.g., Biology, Biochemistry, Environmental Science, Neuroscience/Biopsychology)	10	3.10	995	3.30	3	2.33	574	3.23	
Business and Management (e.g., Accounting, Business Administration, Finance, Marketing)	20	3.20	894	3.26	3	3.33	636	3.17	
Communications (e.g., Journalism, Mass Communication, Speech, Speech Pathology)	1	3.00	408	3.33	0		281	3.19	
Education (e.g., Elementary Education, Secondary Education, Special Education)	8	3.50	422	3.36	6	3.00	324	3.25	
Engineering (e.g., Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering)	0		164	3.29	0		139	3.37	
Fine and Performing Arts (e.g., Architecture, Art, Dance, Music, Theatre)	14	3.29	509	3.25	8	3.13	353	3.21	
Health Sciences (e.g., Exercise Science, Nursing, Pharmacy, Public Health)	12	3.33	437	3.20	3	3.00	207	3.15	
Humanities (e.g., Classics, English, Modern Languages & Literature, Philosophy)	14	3.07	1,294	3.33	14	2.57	977	3.33	
Physical Sciences, Mathematics, and Computer Science (e.g., Astronomy, Chemistry, Earth Sciences, Physics)	9	3.22	777	3.33	2	3.50	477	3.25	
Social Sciences (e.g., Anthropology, Economics, Political Science, Psychology, Sociology)	22	3.41	2,118	3.32	19	3.05	1,570	3.24	
Other	4	3.50	484	3.29	3	2.00	343	3.16	



#### **College Satisfaction**

This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the restriction being degree to the connectivities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate major.

Mean score for "How connected do you feel to this institution?" (Q13) by Primary Activ	/ity (Q1)	ann caricia	CTION MEAN			tea ny lina	neroraniia	remaior
Means range from 1 (No connection) to 4 (Very strong connection)								
Employed, full-time	76	3.29	5,070	3.29	43	2.81	4,099	3.25
Employed, part-time		3.25	158	3.13	1	4.00	200	3.14
Employed, multiple jobs	4 2	3.50	279	3.19	1	4.00	169	3.09
Graduate or professional school, full-time	8	3.13	1,015	3.38	2	2.50	169	3.20
Graduate or professional school, part-time	0		41	3.22	0		12	2.75
Military service	0		40	3.23	1	4.00	33	3.24
Volunteer or national service (Peace Corps, AmeriCorps, etc.)	0		15	3.27	0		6	3.00
Not employed, but seeking employment, admission to graduate school, or other opportunity	2	2.50	176	3.01	0		81	3.11
Not employed and not seeking employment or admission to graduate school	0		83	3.05	1	3.00	151	3.15
(homemaker, traveling, volunteer, retired, etc.)								
Mean score for "Overall, how satisfied have you been with your undergraduate	•		•		•			
education at this institution?" (Q14) by Undergraduate Major (Q25)								
Means range from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 5 (Very satisfied)								
Biological Sciences (e.g., Biology, Biochemistry, Environmental Science, Neuroscience/Biopsychology)	10	4.20	992	4.42	3	4.33	574	4.47
Business and Management (e.g., Accounting, Business Administration, Finance, Marketing)	20	4.15	895	4.38	3	4.00	634	4.34
Communications (e.g., Journalism, Mass Communication, Speech, Speech Pathology)	1	4.00	409	4.36	0		281	4.28
Education (e.g., Elementary Education, Secondary Education, Special Education)	8	4.63	420	4.49	6	4.00	325	4.51
Engineering (e.g., Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering)	0		163	4.37	0		138	4.64
Fine and Performing Arts (e.g., Architecture, Art, Dance, Music, Theatre)	13	4.00	508	4.26	8	3.88	353	4.34
Health Sciences (e.g., Exercise Science, Nursing, Pharmacy, Public Health)	12	4.67	436	4.35	3	4.33	206	4.46
Humanities (e.g., Classics, English, Modern Languages & Literature, Philosophy)	13	3.85	1,295	4.41	13	3.62	975	4.48
Physical Sciences, Mathematics, and Computer Science (e.g., Astronomy, Chemistry, Earth Sciences, Physics)	9	4.11	777	4.42	2	5.00	478	4.44
Social Sciences (e.g., Anthropology, Economics, Political Science, Psychology,	22	4.41	2,121	4.38	19	4.05	1,567	4.42
Sociology)								
Other	4	4.75	485	4.35	3	3.00	341	4.33
Mean score for "Overall, how satisfied have you been with your undergraduate	•		•		•		-	
education at this institution?" (Q9) by Primary Activity (Q1)								
Means range from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 5 (Very satisfied)								
Employed, full-time	75	4.27	5,069	4.39	42	3.93	4,092	4.44
Employed, part-time	4	4.50	158	4.06	1	4.00	200	4.30
Employed, multiple jobs	4 2	4.50	279	4.20	1	4.00	168	4.22
Graduate or professional school, full-time	8	4.63	1,014	4.50	2	3.50	170	4.42
Graduate or professional school, part-time	0		41	4.27	0		12	3.75
Military service	0		40	4.28	1	5.00	33	4.55
Volunteer or national service (Peace Corps, AmeriCorps, etc.)	0 2	2.50	15	4.07	0		6	3.83
Not employed, but seeking employment, admission to graduate school, or other opportunity		2.50	175	3.96	0		81	4.00
Not employed and not seeking employment or admission to graduate school (homemaker, traveling, volunteer, retired, etc.)	0		83	4.04	1	4.00	151	4.33
הסחובווומגבו, נומיבוווופ, יסומוונבנו, ובנורבע, בננ.)								



This report summarizes data for 1-year, 5-year, 10-year, and 10+ year alumni cohorts from 62 institutions (listed below) that administered the HEDS Alumni Survey in the 2017–2018, 2018–2019, 2019–2020, and/or 2020–2021 academic years. Institutions may have surveyed alumni who graduated at other times, but we do not include that data in this report. The average response rate was 25%.

Participating Institutions and Number of Responses								
		# of Respondents -	# of Respondents -	# of Respondents -	# of Respondents - 10+			
	Administration Year	1-Year Cohort <sup>1</sup>	5-Year Cohort <sup>1</sup>	10-Year Cohort <sup>1</sup>	Years Cohort <sup>1</sup>	Response Rate <sup>2</sup>		
Agnes Scott College	2019–2020	66	121	60		38%		
Albertus Magnus College	2018-2019		55	17		8%		
Alma College	2019–2020	58	62	43		25%		
Arkansas State University	2018-2019	206				10%		



					1	100/
Arkansas State University	2019-2020	385				18%
Augustana College	2020-2021	305	96	101	99	22%
Baldwin Wallace University	2017-2018		66	91		13%
Baldwin Wallace University	2018-2019		56	63		11%
Beloit College	2018-2019	109	109	106		17%
Benedictine College	2017-2018	105	60	36		26%
Benedictine College	2018-2019		87	39		27%
Bucknell University	2018–2019	341	288	287		18%
Concordia College (MN)	2017-2018		156	147		33%
Cornell College	2017-2018		54	53		12%
Dickinson College	2017-2018	200	151	167		37%
Dickinson College	2018-2019	189	165	158		33%
-						
Dickinson College	2020-2021	210	217	185		41%
Dominican University of California	2017–2018	65	40	26		15%
Dominican University of California	2020-2021	76	26	16		
Earlham College	2017-2018		61			13%
Earlham College	2019-2020		19	21		8%*
George Fox University	2019-2020	200	113	93		23%
,		200				
Goshen College	2017–2018	_	58	76		46%
Goshen College	2019–2020		34	75		43%
Grinnell College	2018-2019		70	101		30%
Hamilton College	2017-2018		111	81		22%
Hampden-Sydney College	2017-2018	35	32	46		20%
Hampden-Sydney College	2017-2018	40	29	14	1	9%
Hanover College	2017–2018	78	52	79	L	33%
Hanover College	2018-2019	63	67	49		29%
Hanover College	2019–2020	70	53	51		30%
Hanover College	2020-2021	59	41	50	İ	23%
Harvey Mudd College		95	69	65		46%
	2017-2018					
Harvey Mudd College	2018–2019	78	70	54		40%
Harvey Mudd College	2019-2020	69	39	22		26%
Harvey Mudd College	2020-2021	69	50	46		33%
Haverford College	2017-2018	105	101	103		37%
Haverford College	2018-2019	135	101	105		39%
-		135				
Hood College	2018–2019		45	47		16%
Hood College	2019–2020		34	25		9%
Hood College	2020-2021	34	42	31		13%
Illinois Wesleyan University	2017-2018		287	272		32%
Illinois Wesleyan University	2020-2021		133	71		19%
Kalamazoo College	2017–2018		113	85		39%
Kenyon College	2017-2018		115	106		35%
Kenyon College	2018-2019		143	109		36%
Kenyon College	2020-2021				57	20%
Knox College	2017-2018		108	74	-	39%
		452				
Knox College	2020-2021	153	107	156		25%
Lewis & Clark College	2017-2018	161				30%
Loyola University Maryland	2017-2018		174	109		17%
Luther College	2017-2018		218	207		46%
Macalester College	2019-2020		218			51%
Manhattan College	2018-2019		8	12		3%*
-						
Mills College	2017–2018		76	39		26%
Olivet College	2017-2018		24	24		20%
Olivet College	2018-2019		13	35		19%
Ouachita Baptist University	2017-2018	31	86	54		30%
Principia College	2018-2019		102	102	ł	39%
	2018-2019	80	102	102		40%
Principia College						
Principia College	2020-2021	93	105	81		47%
Quinnipiac University	2018-2019	428	269	1	L	25%
Quinnipiac University	2020-2021	626	502	303		18%
Quinnipiac University	2020-2021		502			
Quinnipiac University Reed College	2020-2021 2018–2019		502 139	157	202	22%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College	2020-2021 2018–2019 2020-2021		502 139 202	157 187	302	22% 29%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College	2020-2021 2018–2019 2020-2021 2017–2018		502 139 202 68	157 187 64	302	22% 29% 24%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College	2020-2021 2018–2019 2020-2021		502 139 202	157 187 64 88	302	22% 29% 24% 32%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College	2020-2021 2018–2019 2020-2021 2017–2018		502 139 202 68	157 187 64	302	22% 29% 24%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018		502 139 202 68 101 198	157 187 64 88 89	302	22% 29% 24% 32%
Quinniplac University Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019	626 626 69	502 139 202 68 101 198 48	157 187 64 88 89 16	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018	626 69 104	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76	157 187 64 88 89 16 53	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019	626 69 104 47	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 19%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2018-2019 2019-2020	626 69 104 47 69	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39 77	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 19% 29%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019	626 69 104 47	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 19%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2019-2020	626 69 104 47 69	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39 77	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 19% 29%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Southwestern University	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018	626 69 104 47 69 51	502           139           202           68           101           198           48           76           39           77           51           89	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 19% 29% 23% 33%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2020-2021	626 69 104 47 69	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39 77 51 51 89 82	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 10% 29% 23% 33% 18%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Southwestern University St. Edwards University St. Folyards University St. Folyards University	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018	626 69 104 47 69 51	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39 77 51 89 82 126	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82 93	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 19% 29% 23% 33% 18% 29%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College St. Parbert University St. Edwards University St. Norbert College St. Norbert College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018	626 69 104 47 69 51	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39 77 51 51 89 82	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82 93 75	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 19% 29% 23% 33% 18% 29% 23% 23%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Southwestern University St. Edwards University St. Folyards University St. Folyards University	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018	626 69 104 47 69 51	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39 77 51 89 82 126	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82 93	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 19% 29% 23% 33% 18% 29%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Stripps College Stripps College St. Forbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020	626 69 104 47 69 51	502           139           202           68           101           198           48           76           39           77           51           89           82           126           123	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82 93 75 80	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 29% 23% 23% 23%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scuthwestern University St. Edwards University St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021	626 69 104 47 69 51	502 139 202 68 101 198 48 76 39 77 51 89 82 126 123 92	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82 93 75	302 302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 29% 23% 23% 23% 23% 22% 23% 23% 23% 28%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Southwestern University St. Edwards University St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018	626 69 104 47 69 51	502           139           202           68           101           198           48           76           39           77           51           89           82           126           123           92           530	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82 93 75 80	302 302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 29% 23% 23% 23% 23% 22% 27% 23% 23% 28% 40%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Stripps College St. Korbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Orbert College St. Olafoclege St. OlafCollege St. OlafCollege	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018	626 69 104 47 69 51	502           139           202           68           101           198           48           76           39           77           51           89           82           126           123           92           530           282	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82 93 75 80	302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 29% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 22% 27% 27% 23% 28% 40% 21%
Quinnipiac University Reed College Reed College Saint Anselm College Saint Anselm College Saint Leo University Saint Martin's University Scripps College Scripps College Scripps College Southwestern University St. Edwards University St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College	2020-2021 2018-2019 2020-2021 2017-2018 2017-2018 2018-2019 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2020-2021 2017-2018	626 69 104 47 69 51	502           139           202           68           101           198           48           76           39           77           51           89           82           126           123           92           530	157 187 64 88 89 16 53 39 53 42 102 82 93 75 80	302 302	22% 29% 24% 32% 10% 6% 39% 29% 23% 23% 18% 29% 22% 22% 23% 23% 28% 40%



Susquehanna University	2020-2021		87			26%
The American University of Paris	2018-2019		13	1		2%
The American University of Paris	2019–2020		14	14		14%
The American University of Paris	2020-2021		16	3	2	
The College of New Jersey	2018-2019	338	276	89		6%
The College of St. Scholastica	2017-2018		159	98		15%
Trinity University	2018-2019		50	85		12%
Trinity University (TX)	2017-2018		87	77		20%
Union College	2017-2018		143	117		26%
University of California - Irvine	2020-2021	819	265	249		9%
University of Redlands	2017-2018	96	132	92		16%
University of Saint Katherine	2020-2021	38	6			52%
Wake Forest University	2018-2019		207			26%
Washburn University	2018-2019	134	87	68		17%
Whittier College	2019–2020		37	32		11%
Whittier College	2020-2021		54	51		18%
William Woods University	2019-2020	48	50	37		31%
Wofford College	2018-2019	170	119	84		12%
Xavier University	2018-2019		91	90		16%*

<sup>1</sup>Respondents include alumni who answered at least one question. The 1-year cohort includes alumni who graduated in 2016 or 2017 for the 2017–2018 administration, in 2017 or 2018 for the 2018–2019 administration, in 2018 or 2019 for the 2019–2020 administration, and in 2019 or 2020 for the 2020–2021 administration. The 5-year cohort includes alumni who graduated in 2010 or 2013 for the 2017–2018 administration, in 2013 or 2014 for the 2018–2019 administration, 2014 or 2015 for the 2019–2020 administration, and in 2015 or 2016 for the 2020–2021 administration. The 10-year cohort includes alumni who graduated in 2007 or 2008 for the 2017–2018 administration, in 2008 or 2009 for the 2018–2019 administration, in 2008 or 2009 for the 2017–2018 administration, in 2008 or 2009 for the 2018–2019 administration. The 10-year cohort includes alumni who graduated in 2007 or 2008 for the 2017–2018 administration, in 2008 or 2009 for the 2018–2019 administration. The 10-year cohort includes alumni who graduated before 2007 for the 2017–2018 administration, before 2008 for the 2018–2019 administration. The 10-year cohort includes alumni who graduated before 2007 for the 2017–2018 administration, before 2008 for the 2018–2019 administration. The 10-year cohort includes alumni who graduated before 2007 for the 2017–2018 administration, before 2008 for the 2018–2019 administration. The 10-year cohort includes alumni who graduated before 2007 for the 2017–2018 administration, before 2008 for the 2018–2019 administration.

<sup>2</sup>We calculated the response rate by dividing an institution's number of respondents, including those alumni who did not fall into one of the cohorts included in the report, by the number of emails successfully delivered through Qualtrics.

We calculated the response rate by dividing an institution's number of respondents, including those alumni who did not fall into one of the cohorts included in the report, by the number of emails successfully delivered through Qualitrics \*Institution used authentication method, and response rates for these institutions do not factor in bounced email addresses.

#### Indicators

This file contains information on five dimensions of undergraduate experience. The five indicators and their reliabilities are:

- Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty – 9 statements, Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = 0.92

 $\bullet$  Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations – 14 questions, Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = 0.90

 $\bullet$  Interactions with Diversity – 6 questions, Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = 0.86

 $\bullet$  Growth on Intellectual Outcomes – 10 questions, Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = 0.86

Growth on Civic Outcomes – 4 questions, Cronbach's α = 0.84

The first three dimensions are based on indicators that the Center of Inquiry developed and validated in the Wabash National Study. We developed the indicators measuring intellectual, social, and civic outcomes based on responses to the HEDS Alumni Survey and HEDS Senior Survey. Please note that only those alumni who answered every question in the indicator receive a score.

#### **Calculating Effect Sizes**

We calculated effect sizes for key comparisons rather than using tests of statistical significance. We have so many comparisons that using a test of significance for each comparison would increase the likelihood of a Type I error should the null hypothesis be correct in any of the comparisons. Unfortunately, correcting for this would dramatically reduce the power of our comparisons, thereby increasing the chance of Type I errors if the differences are the result of more than random influences. So, we have calculated effect sizes to provide a guide for assessing the magnitude of the differences between groups. We compared the means of two groups calculating effect size using Cohen's *d*. We only calculated Cohen's *d* when n > 10 in each cell in the comparison.

Following the practice of the National Survey of Student Engagement (http://www.rpajournal.com/dev/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/RPA\_Summer\_Fall\_Issue\_2018\_A2.pdf) we used the following thresholds for small, medium, and large effect sizes for Cohen's d :

\* Large – 0.5 \* Medium – 0.3 \* Small – 0.1

#### **Survey Questions**

Survey questions 19 and 20 are shown combined to provide a complete picture of when alumni found their first paying job.

Survey questions 10, 15, and 16 are open-ended questions. The responses to these questions are included in your data file but not this report.

#### Demographics

We use responses from Question 31 ("What was your citizenship status during the time you were enrolled as an undergraduate?"), Question 32 ("Are you Hispanic or Latino/a?"), and Question 33 ("Please indicate the race or races with which you identify. (Choose one or more)") to create the race/ethnicity categories so that they align with the race/ethnicity categories from IPEDS: https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/report-your-data/race-ethnicity-definitions. Alumni who indicated that they were not a U.S. citizen or permanent resident in Question 33. I were categorized as such, regardless of their responses to Questions 33. Alumni who selected multiple races for Question 33 were categorized as "Two or more races." Alumni who siledet one race for Question 33 were categorized as "Two or more races." Alumni who selected multiple races for Question 33 were categorized as "Not a U.S. citizen or permanent resident" or "Hispanic or Latino/a" were categorized as "Unknown."





# Understandings Regarding the Use of Information Gathered for HEDS

# **Data Sharing Practices**

You can share this report without any restrictions. We send each participating institution (both members and non-members) this report, comparing their results to those of other institutions. This report contains results from all of the HEDS members and non-members that participated in the HEDS Alumni Survey, but it aggregates data from all other institutions into one comparison group. These reports do not identify data from individual institutions.